

New York State Office of Cyber Security
RFP DHSES/OCS 01-2012

Final Questions and Responses

as of

October 18, 2012

Question Number	RFP Page #	Section and Sub-Section Reference	Question
1	5	1.1	<p>Please describe the State's data model</p> <p><i>Answer: The data model for the SAM RFP is shown in Attachment 1 to Exhibit A, page 49.</i></p>
2	5	1.1	<p>Does OCS plan to increase the update frequency</p> <p><i>Answer: The background information in Section 1.1 describes OCS's current Street and Address program, not the one proposed for this RFP. This RFP specifies one-time deliverables only (See also question 5). Ongoing data maintenance is outside the scope of this RFP.</i></p>
3	5	1.1	<p>What does OCS consider the optimal update frequency</p> <p><i>Answer: See question 2 above.</i></p>
4	5	1.1	<p>Does OCS intend to use or replace its entire dataset?</p> <p><i>Answer: OCS intends to use the existing address point dataset as potential source data for the SAM project. All available OCS and county data will be documented in the county SUD and provided as source material to create the address point dataset for the SAM RFP deliverable. The address point dataset for the SAM deliverable will eventually replace OCS' existing address point dataset.</i></p>
5	5	1.1	<p>Please describe the current OCS data maintenance program</p> <p><i>Answer: The RFP has no requirement for data maintenance. OCS will be responsible for maintenance of the data once delivered. See question 2 above.</i></p>
6	5	1.1	<p>Please describe basic data and optional data</p> <p><i>Answer: Optional data is data provided by the Contractor for this contract. The RFP does not describe basic data.</i></p>

7	6	1.2	<p>When will NTIA approve use of grant funds for SAM</p> <p>Answer: OCS is seeking NTIA approval for this procurement. No award will be issued for this solicitation before NTIA approval for the grant expenditure is received.</p>
8	9,43	2.3, A2.1(b)	<p>The RFP language indicates:</p> <p>2.3 “Address point data shall be delivered to OCS in the order presented in the final version of Appendix D Part 6, unless OCS provides changes in writing. The final version of Appendix D Part 6 will be posted on the DHSES website referenced in Section 2.3. ... “ .</p> <p>A2.1(b) “The delivery order and SUDs for each County will be based on the most recent data available. For this reason a final version of APPENDIX D - PART 6 –PRODUCTION SCHEDULE will be made available on the RFP website referenced in Section 2.3 as set forth in Section 2.1, Table 1 Procurement Timetable. It will be the Bidder’s responsibility to retrieve the most recent data from the RFP website. ...”</p> <p>Under what conditions may OCS modify the order of delivery, and SUDs after a final version is posted in Appendix D Part 6?</p> <p>Answer: During the proposal phase of this RFP, OCS will not modify or reorder the priority of the Counties listed on the Final Delivery Schedule (Appendix D Part 6) posted to the RFP website. It will be the Bidder’s responsibility to retrieve the most recent data from the RFP website. The production schedule submitted by the winning Bidder will be the schedule to which the winning Bidder would be bound to adhere.</p> <p>After award of the contract and upon mutual agreement of the parties in writing, OCS and the Contractor may modify or reorder the priority of the winning proposal’s submitted production schedule (Appendix D Part 6), and individual SUDs to best meet the interests of the State. This could be anticipated where new data or data usage agreements are received after the Final Production Schedule was posted during the proposal phase.</p>
9		2.8	<p>Our company is intending to bid on RFP #DHSES/OCS 01-2012. Once we have committed should something unforeseen arise and we are forced to withdraw will be subject to any penalties for future dealings with the state of New York?</p> <p>Answer: There would be no penalties if you submit a Notice of Interest to Bid and thereafter do not submit a proposal. If you submit a bid and withdraw it prior to the closing date for the receipt of bids per the RFP timetable, we will withdraw your proposal without penalty. After the due date for the proposals, per section 2.8 of the RFP each Bidder must hold its offer firm and binding for a period of at least three hundred sixty-five (365)</p>

			days from the Proposal Due Date.
10	10 and 74	2.9 and Appendix C (page 74)	<p>Regarding the submission requirements, on page 10 of the RFP it says 6 copies of the Technical, 4 copies of the Financial and 2 copies of the Administrative proposals should be submitted. On page 74 of the RFP on the Formal Offer Letter is has: Administrative – 2 original and 2 (4) hardcopies; Technical – 6 original hard copies; Financial – 6 original hard copies. Please clarify which is the correct number of copies to include in the submission requirements.</p> <p>Answer: Administrative – 2 hardcopies, 1 electronic on CD Financial – 4 hardcopies, 1 electronic on CD Technical – 6 hard copies, 1 electronic on CD</p>
11	13	2.17	<p>Is DBE Certification acceptable or OCS specifically requires MBE or WBE cert?</p> <p>Answer: DBE certification is a federal program. It is separate and distinct from the New York State M/WBE certification program. For more information on the state program go to: http://www.nylovesbiz.com/mwbe.html.</p>
	17	Appendix C - Part 3	<p>Vendor Responsibility Questionnaire requirements:</p> <p>Answer: If the bidder has not certified and filed a Vendor Responsibility Questionnaire on-line via the New York State VendRep System they must complete and certify a hard copy of the questionnaire.</p> <p>The VENDOR RESPONSIBILITY QUESTIONNAIRE which must be completed and certified by the bidder is located at: http://www.osc.state.ny.us/vendrep/documents/questionnaire/ac3290s.pdf</p>
12	20	4.1	<p>Please clarify 3rd Level: Financial Proposal Evaluation. The second paragraph states, “the Financial Proposal will be evaluated on the number of points the Bidder proposes to deliver for the fixed cost per point.” The fourth paragraph states, “The cost scores are based on a maximum score (1 or 100%) being allocated to the Financial Proposal with the lowest cost per point”, but says nothing about scoring of the Financial Proposal being based on the number of points.</p> <p>Is there an implication that all address points in all counties cannot necessarily be delivered for the initial budget of \$1,950,000?</p> <p>Answer: Depending on the bidders methodology used to create the deliverables, a proposal may offer to deliver less than all of the Counties listed on the posted Final Production Schedule posted on the RFP website, and shall be scored accordingly.</p>
13	20	4.1	<p>How would you define “cost per point”?</p>

			<p>Answer: The “cost per point” is the price that a Bidder proposes to charge for each address point delivered and accepted in its proposal to OCS. It is a single unit price for all deliverables under the Contract.</p>
14			<p>Is the “cost per point” an element added to a record or whole record?</p> <p>Answer: The cost per point is for a whole record. OCS will pay a fixed unit cost for every address point delivered to and accepted by OCS.</p>
15	20	4.1	<p>Please define “responsive to cost requirement”?</p> <p>Answer: To be “responsive to cost requirement,” the proposal must include a cost per point.</p>
16	20	4.1	<p>Is the \$1.9million budgeted for this project to be spent on the data portion of the project only or does that include all spending by OCS on implementation of a turnkey system?</p> <p>Answer: The \$1.95 million is the amount budgeted by OCS for the data deliverables specified in this RFP.</p>
17			<p>NYC – Is New York City partaking in SAM?</p> <p>Answer: Yes, but OCS anticipates working on the data in-house. OCS does not expect the contractor to work on New York City.</p>
18	22	5.1	<p>Which statement is correct: The initial term of the Contract shall end on September 30, 2014. After this initial term of the contract, OCS also reserves the right to exercise up to three (3) optional one-year extensions, at OCS’s sole discretion. However the Solicitation Letter states This project includes three (3) years of ongoing data maintenance services with up to two (2) optional one (1) year extension periods.</p> <p>Answer: The Solicitation letter incorrectly states the term of the contract to be awarded. The contract term indicated in the SAM RFP is correct and reads as follows: “The Contract shall commence upon approval by OSC. The initial term of the Contract shall end on September 30, 2014. After this initial term of the contract, OCS also reserves the right to exercise up to three (3) optional one-year extensions, at OCS’s sole discretion. Such extension options may be exercised by the OCS in any grouping(s) of annual renewals as determined by OCS, but may not be for less than one (1) year at a time, and may not exceed three (3) years in aggregate. OCS will provide the contractor with thirty (30) days’ notice of the intent to exercise the option to extend the contract. ...” This is standard contract language. Assume there will be no contract extension when bidding on this RFP.</p>
19	22	5.1	<p>Can the contractor assume an extension will be applied for?</p> <p>Answer: No.</p>
20	26	B. Vendor	<p>This section says, “If the Bidder is not currently registered in the Vendor File,</p>

		File	<p><i>the Bidder must request assignment of a Vendor ID number from OCS. Complete the OSC Substitute W-9 Form (http://www.osc.state.ny.us/vendors/forms/ac3237_fe.pdf) and submit the form to OCS with your Proposal.</i></p> <p>To submit the Vendor Responsibility Questionnaire on the VendRep system this ID number is required and to fill out the paper copy of the questionnaire completely a Vendor ID number is required.</p> <p>Is it possible to receive a Vendor ID Number in advance of proposal submission so we can include our Vendor ID on the Vendor Responsibility Questionnaire and/or enroll in the VendRep System for submission of the Questionnaire?</p> <p><i>Answer: The RFP language incorrectly indicates OCS in the highlighted section above. This should read the Office of the State Comptroller (OSC).</i></p> <p><i>The Vendor can receive a Vendor ID Number in advance of submission of a proposal by contacting OSC. OSC administers this program and any questions regarding the VendRep system should be directed to their office.</i></p>
21	42	A1	<p>The words “for each County assigned to the Contractor” seem to imply the possibility that there might be multiple contracts awarded for this project. Page 14, subsection 2.19, however, states, “OCS expects to award one contract as a result of this RFP.” Please clarify.</p> <p><i>Answer: There will be only one contract awarded for this project but the contractor may not work on all New York State Counties. To the extent that the winning bidder’s schedule does not include all Counties listed in Appendix D, OCS may work on the remaining Counties with in-house staff.</i></p>
22	42	A2.1, number 1,a	<p>How confident is OCS with their address point data?</p> <p><i>Answer: Most OCS address points are located at parcel centroid. The RFP section A3.2.3 Geometric Accuracy Specification calls for rooftop placement. The Contractor must also perform completeness checking to improve the comprehensiveness of the address points using the source data as outlined in the SUDs.</i></p>
23	42	A1 1.a	<p>Is the contractor only responsible for the counties listed as Completed in SUD?</p> <p><i>Answer: No, the Contractor will be responsible for completing the Counties in the submitted Production Schedule (Appendix D, Part 6) that is submitted in its Bid.</i></p>
24	42	A1	<p>During the OCS Pre-Bid Conference it was stated that OCS plans to do work on some counties with in-house staff. * 1- Please quantify how much as this is important in determining work-share and resources for staffing allocation</p>

			<p>to meet OCS deadlines. * 2- If you are unable to quantify at this stage, please provide an estimate and a not-to-exceed amount.</p> <p>Answer:</p> <p>*1: The amount of work OCS will have to do will vary depending on the winning proposal's Production Schedule (Appendix D Part 6). If the chosen Bidder proposes to deliver all address points for all counties within the budget and time allowed, OCS does not anticipate working on any of these counties unless circumstances dictate otherwise. If the winning Bidder proposes to do less than all the counties listed in the Final Production Schedule, OCS anticipates using in-house resources to complete them.</p> <p>*2: OCS cannot provide an estimate or not-to-exceed amount at this time.</p>
25	42	A2.1	<p>Would NYS OCS be interested in the entire state of NY address file being delivered to OCS instead of OCS delivering the file to the Vendor?</p> <p>Answer: If the vendor has its own address data file containing data that OCS does not currently have, OCS would be interested in receiving the data. The contractor would have to propose the new data source in a proposed SUD for each county to be accepted at the sole discretion of OCS per Section A2.2 p.42. The delivery would be subject to the same acceptance criteria as indicated in Section A3.2 page 44.</p>
26	43	A2.4 Ensuring proper point placement	<p>This section says that the <i>"Contractor must also maintain existing subaddress points and supplemental pointers where they exist in the supplied data"</i>. Does this only include those counties that have existing subaddress points and supplemental points in an existing GIS database or is it the vendor's responsibility to also add subaddress or supplemental points if this information is available in a secondary resource such as the LEC database? If so will the state provide criteria for the placement of any additional subaddress points?</p> <p>Answer: This only includes counties that have existing subaddress points and supplemental points in an existing GIS database.</p>
27	43	A2.2.1	<p>What does OCS see as the benefits of using vendor address source data? Presumably "official" addresses will come from tax/legal records (and possibly utility billing) any third party address must be derived from these sources too and risks being inaccurate.</p> <p>Answer: The benefit of using vendor address data will be determined by studying the sample data provided to OCS as per section 3.2 (B) (d) page 18.</p>
28	44	A2.5	<p>Is the attribute completeness requirement based on re-structuring the address information existing in the address point file, or is the bidder expected to compare to surrounding areas in the points and additional datasets to complete any missing street naming or other attributes?</p>

			<p>Answer: The completeness requirement is based on re-structuring the address information found in the source data provided to the Contractor. The context of the surrounding data as well as publically available data may be used to complete missing data. The acceptance testing of the deliverable will be made based solely on the source data provided to the Contractor, and any Contractor provided data based on a modified SUD.</p>
29	44	A2.5	<p>Will the bidder be responsible for adding in missing address points, identified during course of project, based on structures found with imagery, but no source point location are present? <i>(Example: A new subdivision has been identified with imagery, but source data does not have features for this new development)</i>- Would the vendor be responsible for adding in these new points?</p> <p>Answer: The vendor will only be responsible for address points and attributes for which source data exist. Address points without attributes do not meet delivery specifications.</p>
30	44	A2.5	<p>Is the bidder expected to correct all attribute inaccuracies based on comparisons of address points to the streets, MSAG, and ALI?</p> <p>Answer: The contractor is expected to deliver address points and attributes consistent with the source data provided and its usage described in the SUDs. See question 31 below.</p>
31	44	A3.2 (Item 1)	<p>If conflicts arise between source data, is the bidder responsible to resolve them for the address points? Or is OCS responsible to resolve them later based on the bidder's recommendation?</p> <p>Answer: If conflicts arise the Bidder is responsible for creating a problem resolution process as specified in Exhibit A (A1)(1) at page 42 to resolve the issue. In general the SUD will identify primary data and supporting data. Sources described as Primary Source in the SUD are controlling in data conflicts. A Secondary Source is used for attribute completeness and to supplement missing Primary Source data. Reference Source data may be helpful in verifying other data and spatial placement of points.</p>
32	45	A3.2.1 (Item 1C)	<p>How should the bidder handle duplicate or invalid address points?</p> <p>Answer: Any point which is an exact spatial and attribute duplication of an existing Primary Source point can be discarded. No deliverable may contain address points which have the exact same spatial location and same attributes.</p>

			<p>Address data in the source data which cannot be placed must be put in an exception report as detailed in Exhibit A (A1)(2) on page 42.</p> <p>Invalid address points are address points that are incorrect, and may be dealt with through the problem resolution process proposed by the Contractor as in Exhibit A (4)(1)c.</p>
33	46	A3.2.4 (Item 2)	<p>Is the bidder responsible for resolving all discrepancies (street name spelling, community / city name, Zip Codes, ESN/ESZ values) that exist between source data?</p> <p>Answer: See question 30 above.</p>
34	46	A4.1 (Item 2b)	<p>What is the desired timeline for this project?</p> <p>Answer: The production/delivery schedule (timeline) for the project will be part of the technical proposal of the Bidder. This must be completed within the Contract Term.</p>
35	49	Attachment to Exhibit A	<p>For NYStreetID, is this to be populated based on addressing, or physical access via driveway or other segment geometry?</p> <p>Answer: The NYStreetID refers to the NYStreetID that exists in the NYS Streets dataset with which the address point is associated and is based on the address ranges in NYS Streets. If a street does not exist in NYS Streets or the NYS Streets address ranges do not include the address number of the SAM Address point, the NYStreetID should be left unpopulated.</p>
36	49	Attachment 1 to Exhibit A – Deliverable Schema	<p>Are all attributes in Attachment 1 to Exhibit A required? For example, if the primary source for attribution has address information but no city or zip should a secondary resource such as the LEC database be used to populate these fields?</p> <p>Answer: If the data does not exist in the primary source, but does exist in a secondary source, it should be included in the deliverable. See question 31.</p>
37	50 & 51	Attachment 2 to Exhibit A – Point Placement	<p>Is there an expected workflow for counties that do not have a parcel layer?</p> <p>Answer: Yes, in the SUD there will always be a primary data source even in the absence of parcel data. In some Counties, parcel data may be provided but the parcel data may be identified in the SUD for use only as a Secondary Source.</p>
38	78	Appendix C – Part 2	<p>If a contractor does not have an office in New York State do they still need to file an application with the NY Secretary of State to do business in New York</p>

			<p>State or will they be able to enter into contract under Interstate Commerce provisions?</p> <p>Answer: Any questions regarding the requirements for foreign corporations to do business in New York State should be directed to the NYS Department of State and your legal counsel. Additional guidance can also be found at: http://www.dos.ny.gov/cnsl/do_bus.html.</p>
39	81 and 83	Appendix C - Part 3	<p>It states in the RFP for the Bidder Responsibility Certification and Questionnaire the following: <i>An authorized representative of the Bidder who is legally authorized to certify the information requested in the name of and on behalf of the Bidder is required to complete and sign the Bidder Responsibility Certification and Questionnaire and provide all requested information. Bidder's authorized representative must certify as to the truth of the representations made by signing where indicated, below.</i> However, there is not a place that indicates where to sign. Please advise as to the method of signature required for these two pages.</p> <p>Answer: With regard to pages 81 and 83, please have an authorized representative execute and date those pages at the bottom of the form.</p>
40	102	Appendix D Part 3 – Verification of Minimum Qualifications	<p>In regards to minimum qualifying requirement 4 that requires a firm to have completed over 1 million points from source materials, or the collection of over 5 million address point locations; does this refer to the amount of address points from one previous single project or as a cumulative total of all of the addressing points that have been collected over multiple projects by a bidding firm? If it is the cumulative total across multiple projects, does that include the cumulative total between the prime contractor and its sub-contractors?</p> <p>Answer: A cumulative total across multiple projects and among the prime contractor and sub-contractors is permissible, if this is described in the proposal. If the cumulative total of points includes sub-contractor work, then the Key Project Staff requirements as found in Section 5.36A page 40 must also include sub-contractor staff.</p>
41	104	Appendix D - Part 5	<p>If a contractor is going to propose the use of optional data, please provide complete details for: * The timing of the SUD submission, * Timing of SUD acceptance, *Methodology used to determine acceptance of optional provided data.</p> <p>Answer: The SUD submission should be made as soon as is practicable after award of the Contract. The timing of the acceptance and methodology of the acceptance will depend on the type of optional data submitted.</p>

42	104	Appendix D - Part 5	<p>If a contractor decides to use of optional data for <u>validation purposes</u> and not as a source for provision, is a formal SUD submission necessary?</p> <p>Answer: No, however OCS acceptance will be based on data sources listed in the SUD. It is recommended that all optional data be included in the SUD. If a contractor decides to use optional data and describes it in a proposed SUD, it will have to be provided to OCS for acceptance testing.</p>
43	105 and 106	Appendix D – Part 6	<p>Does the record count in the New York City SUD account for all of the five boroughs on New York City? Does the City have address points and, if so, does OCS anticipate having a Data Usage Agreement in place during the project period?</p> <p>Answer: OCS anticipates managing the processing of NYC from source data with in-house resources.</p>
44	107	Appendix E – Financial Proposal	<p>Appendix E states “Bidder must submit an average cost per point that accounts for the different levels of difficulty in processing data from different Counties.” and also states that clarifications are not allowed.</p> <p>Given the varying degrees of difficulty and different county sizes, we believe it is in the State’s best interest to consider county-specific pricing information to support a weighted average calculation in Appendix E. County-specific pricing would provide the necessary information should the State choose to exclude some counties from the project. The idea of excluding counties was reference during the pre-bid webinar.</p> <p>Would the State consider an itemized address point price per county in support of the average cost per point submitted in Appendix E?</p> <p>Answer: No.</p>
45			<p>Will the state provide contractor on-line access to the State Orthoimagery for point collection?</p> <p>Answer: Yes, that is already provided by an ESRI and OGS WMS web service. More information can be found at http://gis.ny.gov/gateway/mg/webserv/webserv.html</p>
46			<p>Will this access be via a service that is compatible with ArcGIS?</p> <p>Answer: Yes.</p>
47			<p>Will there be any cost associated with the imagery access?</p> <p>Answer: No fees are or will be charged by the state to access OCS imagery.</p>

48			<p>Should the contractor desire to use the State Imagery to extract features algorithmically from the imagery can the raw image data be made available as long as the contractor covers the cost of duplication and shipping of imagery?</p> <p>Answer: Yes, with the possible exception of sensitive imagery covering a small percentage of the area of New York State.</p>
49	Solicitation Letter	Paragraphs 1 and 2	<p>Is the anticipated budget not to exceed \$1,950,000 to also include the three (3) years of ongoing data maintenance services?</p> <p>Answer: There is no requirement for 3 years of ongoing data maintenance. There are only one-time deliverables (by County). See question 18 regarding the Contract Term.</p>
50	n/a	Tentative Source Usage Documents	<p>The Tentative Source Usage Documents do not list a parcel layer for all counties or for the City of New York. However, according to the documents, some of these have a parcel centroids layer. Can we assume a parcel layer will be available for all counties and for New York City?</p> <p>Answer: No, it cannot be assumed that there will be a polygon parcel layer for every County.</p>
51			<p>Is it possible to receive an extension date for submission</p> <p>Answer: No.</p>
52			<p>How many vendors have received this RFP? How many vendors expressed interest?</p> <p>Answer: We are unaware of the number of vendors who received the RFP. An advertisement for this procurement was posted on the New York State Contract Reporter website and a solicitation email was sent to a list of 26 vendors notifying them of the release of the RFP.</p> <p>Twenty three (23) vendors returned a Notice of Interest form.</p>
53	RFP Source Doc.	Data Layers	<p>Nokia Navteq Address Points are referenced in many/most counties as a "Primary Source", but under the "Data Usage Agreement" column, there is a "N/A". NYS OCS has listed this in their source documents as part of their OCS "source" (shown as: "NYS Address Points NAVTEQ Address Points"). *1- Please confirm NYS-OCS has the needed Nokia Navteq License in place, and *2 -confirm that the NYS-OCS Navteq license permits use by the contractor awarded this work?</p> <p>Answer: 1: Required licensing is in place with NAVTEQ to allow access and use of NYS Address Points NAVTEQ data source referenced in the SUDs to contractors</p>

			<p>providing services to OCS. See answer to 55 below.</p> <p>2: See question 55 below.</p>
54	RFP Source Doc.	Data Layers	<p>If OCS considers Nokia Navteq Address Points (as referenced in many/most counties) to be a "Primary" data source for this work, please: *1 - Confirm the status of the NYS-OCS NAVTEQ license, and: *2 - Confirm that the Navteq License in place permits use by the contractor awarded this work?</p> <p>Answer: See question 53 above.</p>
55	RFP Overall		<p>If Nokia Navteq Address Points is listed as a "Primary Source" of data for the project, please confirm that NOKIA/Navteq is precluded from bidding as a Prime contractor on this RFP.</p> <p>Answer: NOKIA NAVTEQ is not precluded from bidding on this project. There is no Nokia Navteq Address Points dataset listed as a source in an SUD. The NYS Address Points NAVTEQ data source referenced in the SUDs is OCS data that NAVTEQ is maintaining on a quarterly basis. It is not NAVTEQ's commercially available point addresses for New York. The winning Bidder under this solicitation will have access to the NYS Address Points NAVTEQ data. As stated in section 5.19 of the RFP, "all sources provided remain the property of the State for the sole use on this Contract, and will be destroyed on conclusion of this Contract."</p>
56			<p>The Geodatabase Schema is missing a few fields from the Proposed NENA Standard. Does OCS wish to include those fields (whether populated or not) i.e. ESN, MSAG Community...?</p> <p>Answer: No.</p>
57			<p>What data projection does OCS require the file geodatabase be delivered?</p> <p>Answer: UTM Zone 18, NAD 83.</p>
58			<p>Will the contactor have the ability to work with the individual NYS counties in attempts of collecting other data sources that may be available at the county level?</p> <p>Answer: No, OCS will continue to take on the role of working directly with the Counties.</p>
59	N/A	N/A	<p>Will a list of the companies registered as participants on the pre-bid conference be made available?</p> <p>Answer: A list of companies who certified attendance at the 10/11/2012 mandatory pre-bid audio conference has been posted to the RFP website. http://www.dhSES.ny.gov/ocs/rfp/sam-rfp2012.cfm</p>
60		General question	<p>Is there any concern in moving these points that are already established by county addressing authorities</p> <p>Answer: The SUD will specify how to use each data source. County's address points already meeting the geographic location specifications shall not be</p>

			<p>moved. Other work as specified in the RFP, such as conversion of attributes to the address standard, may be required in counties with address points already meeting the geographic location specifications.</p>
61		General question	<p>Can you please define a detail use case of moving these points</p> <p>Answer: Attachment 2 to Exhibit A page 52 in the RFP contains a flowchart and detailed use cases of moving points from the source data.</p>